**FileNumber**

Single Digit Finger Print Bureau

Unit1

Date:

**From**

FPE

Designation

Single Digit Finger Print Bureau

Unit2

**To**

The SHO

**Sir,**

**Sub**: Identification of criminal through chance prints developed from scene of crime - Expert Opinion furnishing of - regarding.

**Ref**: 1.

2. Identification report of even number dated

Please refer to the above.

As requested by the SHO, I had inspected the scene of crime PO concerned in Crime No. Cr u/s of PS on DTID and developed CPD. The CPmarking. Chance print marked as …… was developed from …………….. Photographer

PrintComparisonDetails **CulpritDetails**IDDetails

On 24/10/2018, the daily arrest fingerprint slip of one **Velamkanny s/o Lasar, Door No. 115/14, Church Street, Maniyarampetty, Andipetty, Theni, Tamilnadu**  who is accused in Cr.No. 342/18 of Vandanmedu P.S was received in this Bureau from your office. This fingerprint slip is newly registered in the Bureau records as daily arrest slip No. **DA/114/18/SDB/IDK**. I have cross checked the fingerprint slip No. **DA/114/18/SDB/IDK** with previously recorded fingerprint slip No. **141/DA/2002-IDK** andfound they are of the same person. I have also compared the chance prints marked as **V5, V6 and V7** with the fingerprint slip No. **DA/114/18/SDB/IDK** and the chance prints were found **IDENTICAL** with the **Left Ring, Left Middle and Left Index** finger impressions respectively of the accused **Velamkanny s/o Lasar.**

**EXPERT OPINION**

For furnishing the Expert Opinion in this regard, I have taken the chance print marked as **‘V6’** and the specimen **Left Middle** finger impression in the Daily Arrest slip **No. DA/114/18/SDB/IDK.** The specimen Left Middle finger impression is marked as **‘S’** by me.

The ridges in chance print marked as **‘V6’** are white in colour since the chance print was developed using white colored fingerprint developing chemical powder, and the ridges in specimen impression **‘S’** are black in colour since it was taken by means of black printers ink in finger print slip.

In order to establish the identity, I have marked the following eight identical ridge characteristics present in their nature and relative positions in the respective enlarged photographs of **‘V6’** and **‘S’**, which are duly attested by me on the rear side.

**Eight Identical Ridge Characteristics present in both the finger impressions ‘****V6’ and ‘S’**

**Point No. 1: is a**

**Point No. 2: is a**

**Point No. 3: is a**

**Point No. 4: is a**

**Point No. 5: is a**

**Point No. 6: is a**

**Point No. 7: is a**

**Point No. 8: is a**

Since the above mentioned eight Identical Ridge Characteristics are repeatedly present in their nature and relative positions in both the chance print **‘V6’** and specimen impression **‘S’**, they are **IDENTICAL**. That is, they are made by the same finger of the same person. Since **‘S’** is the Specimen Left Middle finger impression in the finger print slip of **Velamkanny s/o Lasar**, and as it is identical with the chance print marked as **‘V6’** developed from the referred scene of crime, I am of the opinion that the chance print marked as **‘V6’** is made by the Left Middle finger of **Velamkanny s/o Lasar, Door No. 115/14, Church Street, Maniyarampetty, Andipetty, Theni, Tamilnadu .** Attested photographic enlargements of the chance print **‘V6’** and the specimen Left Middle finger impression **‘S’** with eight points of identity marked therein are enclosed herewith. Please acknowledge the receipt.

Yours faithfully,